

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,563	02/12/2004	David H. McConville	1999U029.RE.US	6900
7590 02/04/2010 Douglas W Miller			EXAMINER	
Univation Technologies LLC c/o Judith A Kruger 5555 San Felipe Suite 1950			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77056			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/777.563 MCCONVILLE, DAVID H. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit WILLIAM K. CHEUNG 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/16/2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/777,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

#### DETAILED ACTION

 The examiner acknowledges the receipt of the amendment and terminal disclaimer filed June 23, 2009, claims 3, 9 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14 are pending.

- In view of the terminal disclaimer filed June 23, 2009, the rejection of claims 1, 2,
  4-8, 10-14 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,271,325, is withdrawn.
- In view of the amendment filed June 23, 2009, the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-8,
  10-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McConville et al. (US 6,271,325),
  is withdrawn since McConville et al. fail to teach the leaving group as claimed.

#### Oath/Declaration

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.

Because Applicant has made numerous amendments to the claims since the filing of the previous declaration, a statement that all errors corrected in this reissue arose without deceptive intent is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,563

Art Unit: 1796

An example of acceptable language is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by the prior declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because the error which is relied upon to support the reissue application is not an error upon which a reissue can be based. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

The error statement merely states that the error lies in the fact that claim 1 is anticipated by a JP reference. The fact that applicants proceed to state where in the reference they believe the claim to be anticipated is irrelevant. Applicant needs to focus on their own claim and not on what the JP reference teaches. The error statement provided is directed more to "how" the error was discovered and not what the error actually is. MPEP Section 1414 states in part:

The "at least one error " which is relied upon to support the reissue application must be set forth in the oath /declaration. It is not necessary, however, to point out how (or when) the error arose or occurred. Further, it is not necessary to point out how (or when) the error was discovered. All that is needed for the oath /declaration statement as to error is the identification of "at least one error" relied upon. In identifying the error, it is sufficient that the reissue oath /declaration identify a single word, phrase, or expression in the specification or in an original claim, and how it renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid. The

Application/Control Number: 10/777,563

Art Unit: 1796

corresponding corrective action which has been taken to correct the original patent need not be identified in the oath /declaration (emphasis added).

Any error in the claims must be identified by reference to the specific claim(s) and the specific claim language wherein lies the error. (emphasis added)

In this case, applicant has not provided any specific limitations that needed to be present in patented claim 1. The mention of the JP reference is irrelevant to the requirement under 35 USC 251. An example of an acceptable error statement would include language stating "claim 1 failed to include a limitation X".

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM: 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/William K Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

William K. Cheung, Ph. D. Primary Patent Examiner January 21, 2010